Michigan Amendments in Response to the OSEP 4/7/08 Status Table

Pg	Indicator	Location on page	Wording as submitted 2/1/08	Revised wording as submitted 4/14/08	Reason for change
103 to 104		April 14, 2008 Update	New	April 14, 2008 Update: In response to the OSEP feedback that the current calculation of disproportionate representation is "inconsistent with the required measurement" – "that it does not identify districts for disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in each of the six specified categories" the following steps were taken that will affect the final data in Table 2:	disproportionate representation in any one disability be addressed—Michigan's earlier calculation including a threshold of two disabilities among AI, LD, OHI, SLI unacceptable.
				The OSE/EIS has amended its disproportionality business rules as stated on the previous page.	
				The OSE/EIS has re-analyzed all LEA disproportionate representation data from school years 2005 and 2006 using the new rules. Preliminary findings suggest an increase in number (approximately 12) of districts with WRR>2.5.	
				The OSE/EIS has amended its disproportionality business rules as stated on the previous page. The OSE/EIS has re-analyzed all LEA disproportionate representation data from school years 2005 and 2006 using the new rules. Preliminary findings suggest an increase in number (approximately 12) of districts with WRR>2.5.	

Michigan Amendments in Response to the OSEP 4/7/08 Status Table

Pg	Indicator	Location on page	Wording as submitted 2/1/08	Revised wording as submitted 4/14/08	Reason for change			
103	10	April 14, 2008 Update	New	 Districts will be notified by April 21, 2009 of their current status along with a document outlining required next steps to address the concern. Notified districts verify their data, with an opportunity to appeal. Districts identified after data verification conduct a desk audit for review by the OSE/EIS monitors. Districts with questionable procedures, policies, and practices participate in a regional meeting conducted by OSE/EIS to investigate issues further. See Appendix J for revised Business Rules. Where appropriate, the OSE/EIS conducts an onsite monitoring visit. Districts with disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification were required to implement an approved improvement plan within the year of findings. 	OSEP requirement that disproportionate representation in any one disability be addressed—Michigan's earlier calculation including a threshold of two disabilities among AI, LD, OHI, SLI unacceptable.			
237	Appen- dix J (#10)	New business rules	1-7 same	8 and 9 revised	Comply with requirement for disproportionate representation per single disability			

	Additional Minor Text Edits in the interest of clarity and utility for all readers								
Pg	Indicator (initials)	Location on page	Wording as submitted 2/1/08	Revised wording as submitted 4/14/08	Reason for change				
all	all	throughout	There were minor typing and formatting errors. None of these had any effect on content.	Correct/consistent capitalization, bullet coding, hyphenization are applied using track changes. Changes were made following consultation with state contact.	This will make the document easier to read for Michigan stakeholders as they review sections relative to local public reporting and determinations.				
27	3	Overview, Line 2	November	October	Correction of date formally approved				
87	9	State Definitions table	None	Add table headers: Over-Representation Under-Representation	This will enhance understanding, clarity, & readability of table				
91	9	Table, Line 1	Native American	American Indian	Align with §618 language				
100	10	State Definitions table	New	Add table headers: Over-Representation Under-Representation	To enhance understanding, clarity, & readability of table				
104	10	Table 2, Column 2	Native American	American Indian	Align with §618 language				
105	10	List, row 1	Native American	American Indian	Align with §618 language				